

Updates on modified Dynamic Boundary Conditions (mDBC)

AARON ENGLISH

7th DualSPHysics Workshop

March 20, 2024 – Bari, Italy

Outline

Motivation

Boundary Conditions in DualSPHysics

- Dynamic Boundary Condition (DBC)
- modified Dynamic Boundary Conditions (mDBC)

Updates to mDBC

- Numerical checks
- Pressure cloning
- No-slip

Example Test Cases

Conclusions and future work



Motivation

Journal papers



Zotero database of journal papers using DualSPHysics: https://www.zotero.org/groups/2862487/dualsphysics/library

Reference paper (link)

2022. DualSPHysics: from fluid dynamics to

2024

Feng R, Fourtakas G, Rogers BD, Lombardi D. 2024. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 419, 116581. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2023.116581.

Yang Y, English A, Rogers BD, Stansby PK, Stagonas D, Buldakov E, Draycott S. 2024. Numerical modelling of a vertical cylinder with dynamic response in steep and breaking waves using smoothed particle hydrodynamics. Journal of Fluids and Structures, 125, 104049. doi:10.1016/j.jfluidstructs.2023.104049.

Cen C, Fourtakas G, Lind S, Rogers BD. 2024. A single-phase GPU-accelerated surface tension model using SPH. Computer Physics Communications, 295, 109012. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2023.109012.

Ricci F, Vacondio R, Tafuni A. 2024. Multiscale Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics based on a domain-decomposition strategy. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 418(A), 116500, doi:10.1016/j.cma.2023.116500.

2023

Laha S, Fourtakas G, Kuamr Das P, Keshmiri A. 2023. Fluid-structure interaction modeling of bi-leaflet mechanical heart valves using smoothed particle hydrodynamics. Physics of Fluids, 35, 121902, doi:10.1063/5.0172043.

Yang Y, Stansby PK, Rogers BD, Buldakov E, Stagonas D, Draycott S. 2023. The loading on a vertical cylinder in steep and breaking waves on sheared currents using smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Physics of Fluids, 35(8), 087132. doi.10.1063/5.0160021

Antona R. Vacondio R. Avesani D. Righetti M. Renzi M. 2023. A WENO SPH scheme with improved transport velocity and consistent divergence operator. Computational Particle Mechanics. doi.10.1007/s40571-023-00681-z.

Tagliafierro B, Karimirad M, Altomare C, Göteman M, Martínez-Estévez I, Capasso S, Domínguez JM, Viccione G, Gómez-Gesteira M, Crespo AJC. 2023. Numerical validations and investigation of a semi-submersible floating offshore wind turbine platform interacting with ocean waves using an SPH framework. Applied Ocean Research, 141, 103757. doi:10.1016/j.apor.2023.103757

King J, Lind S, Rogers BD, Stansby PK, Vacondio R. (2023). Large eddy simulations of bubbly flows and breaking waves with smoothed particle hydrodynamics. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 972, A24. doi:10.1017/jfm.2023.649.

Sun JZ, Zou L, Govender N, Martínez-Estévez I, Crespo AJC, Sun Z, Domínguez JM. 2023. A resolved SPH-DEM coupling method for analysing the interaction of polyhedral granular materials with fluid. Ocean Engineering, 287 (2), 115938.

Domínguez JM, Fourtakas G, Altomare C, Car Altomare C, Scandura R Cáceres I, van der A D, Viccione G. 2023. Large-scale wave breaking over a barred basch: SPH numerical simulation and comparison with experiments. Coastal Engineering, 185, 104362. doi:10.1016/j.coastaleng.2023.104362.

Estévez I, Mokos A, Vacondio R, Crespo AJC, El Rahi J, Martínez-Estévez I, Tagliafierro B, Domínguez JM, Crespo AJC, Stratigaki V, Suzuki T, Troch P. 2023. Numerical investigation of vave-induced flexible vegetation dynamics in 3D using a coupling between DualSPHysics and the FEA module of Project Chrono. Ocean Engineering, 295, 115227. doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2023.115227.

Particle Mechanics, 9(5), 867-895. doi:10.10(Ricci F, Vacondio R, Tafuni, A. 2023. Direct numerical simulation of three-dimensional isotropic turbulence with smoothed particle hydrodynamics. Physics of Fluids, 35, 065148. doi:10.1063/5.0152154.

> Yang Y, Draycott S, Stansby PK, Rogers BD. 2023. A numerical flume for waves on variable sheared currents using smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) with open boundaries. Applied Ocean Research, 135, 103527, doi:10.1016/j.apor.2023.103527.

Mitsui J, Altomare C, Crespo AJC, Dominguez JM, Martínez-Estévez I, Suzuki T, Kubota S, Gómez-Gesteira M. 2023. DualSPHysics modelling to analyse the response of Tetrapods against solitary wave. Coastal Engineering, 183, 104315. doi:10.1016/j.coastaleng.2023.104315.

Capasso S, Tagliafierro B, Mancini S, Martínez-Estévez I, Altomare C, Dominguez JM, Viccione G. 2023. Regular Wave Seakeeping Analysis of a Planing Hull by Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics: A Comprehensive Validation. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 11(4), 700. doi:10.3390/jmse11040700

Martínez-Estévez I, Tagliafierro B, El Rahi J, Domínguez JM, Crespo AJC, Troch P, Górnez-Gesteira M. 2023. Coupling an SPH-based solver vith an FEA structural solver to simulate free surface flows interacting with flexible structures. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 410, 115989. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2023.115989.

Pringgana G, Rogers BD, Cunningham L. 2023. Mitigating tsunami effects on buildings via novel use of discrete onshore protection systems. Coastal Engineering Journal, 65:1. doi:10.1080/21664250.2023.2170690

Kotsarinis K, Green MD, Simonini A, Debarre O, Magin T, Tafuni A. 2023. Modeling sloshing damping for spacecraft: A smoothed particle hydrodynamics application. Aerospace Science and Technology, 108090. doi: 10.1016/j.ast.2022.108090.

Majtan E, Cunningham LS, Rogers BD. 2023. Numerical study on the structural response of a masonry arch bridge subject to flood flow and debris impact. Structures, 48, 782-797, doi:10.1016/j.istruc.2022.12.100.

Aslami MH, Rogers BD, Stansby PK, Bottacin-Busolin A, 2023. Simulation of floating debris in SPH shallow water flow model with tsunami application. Advances in Water Resources, 171, 104363. doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2022.104363.

Ruffini G, Dominguez JM, Briganti R, Altomare C. Stolle J, Crespo AJC, Ghiassi B, Capasso S, De Girolano P. 2023. MESH-IN: A MESHed INlet offine coupling method for 3-D extreme hydrodynamic events in DualSPHysics. Ocean Engineering, 268, 113400. doi:org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2022.113400

Martínez-Estévez I, Domínguez JM, Tagliafierro B, Canelas RB, García-Feal O, Crespo AJC, Górnez-Gesteira M. 2023. Coupling of an SPHbased solver with a multiphysics library. Computer Physics Communications, 283, 108581. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2022.108581.

Novak G, Pengal P, Silva AT, Domínguez JM, Tafuni A, Cetina M, Zagar D. 2023. Ecological Modelling. Interdisciplinary design of a fish ramp using migration routes analysis, 475, 110189. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2022.110189.



Motivation

	1							
	Delegates Session 1	Chair: Alejandro Crespo						
0:35-10:50	Latest outcomes of Dual	Bonaventura Tagliafierro						
0:50-11:05	Sensitivity analysis of sn	Gael Verao Fernández						
1:05-11:20	Numerical modelling of n	Annelie Baines						
1:20-11:35	Capturing WECs' extrem	Oronzo Dell'Edera						
	Delegates Session 2	Chair: Corrado Altomare						
1:40-11:55	3-D modelling of riverine	Benedict Rogers						
1:55-12:10	3-D simulations of turbul	Gorazd Novak						
2:10-12:25	Fluid-debris-structure inte	Gioele Ruffini						
2:25-12:40	Simulation of tsunami-borne debris interaction with structures in DualSPHysics coupled with CHRONO Kenshiro Ishiki							
2:40-14:40	Lunch (location here)							
	Developers Talks	GC#1: Convergence, consistency and stabi	ility					
4:45-15:10	Novelties and new appl	Leaders: J.J. Monaghan, D. Violeau and R. Vignjevic						
5:10-15:35	Updates on multi-phase		<u> </u>					
5:35-16:00	Updates on modified D	GC#2: Boundary conditions						
6:00-16:40	Coffee break							
	Delegates Session 3	Leaders: A. Souto-Iglesias						
6:40-16:55	Preliminary analysis of							
6:55-17:10	Hydrodynamics of oscil	GC#3: Adaptivity						
7:10-17:25	SPH-FSI model of the r	Leaders: B.D. Rogers and R. Vacondio						
7:25-17:40	Simulating flexible vege	2						
7:40-17:55	Modeling flexible veget	GC#4: Coupling to other models						
			- ·					
		Leaders: 5. Marrone, C. Altomare and D. Le	e louze					

GC#5: Applicability to industry

Leaders: J-C. Marongiu and M. De Leffe

09:35-10:15	KEYNOTE: Industrial use of DualSPHysics: past, present and future	Georgios Fourtakas					
10:15-10:55	Coffee break						
	Delegates Session 4	Chair: Annelie Baines					
10:55-11:10	Measuring flap gates wastewater flow	Tanguy Pouzol					
11:10-11:25	Implementation of Mohr-Coulomb criterion in the non-newtonian fluid model	Chiaki Tsurudome					
11:25-11:40	KRÆKEN: easy DualSPHysics GUI to study urban hydrology	Priscille Béguin					
11:40-11:55	A numerical method for studying interaction problems between soil, rocks and structures with SPH and DDA	Guangqi Chen					
11:40-11:55	A numerical method for studying interaction problems between soil, rocks and structures with SPH and DDA Delegates Session 5	Guangqi Chen Chair: Bonaventura Tagliafierro					
11:40-11:55 12:00-12:15	A numerical method for studying interaction problems between soil, rocks and structures with SPH and DDA Delegates Session 5 Modelling of arbitrary sheared currents and focused waves using DualSPHysics for offshore applications	Guangqi Chen Chair: Bonaventura Tagliafierro Aaron English					
11:40-11:55 12:00-12:15 12:15-12:30	A numerical method for studying interaction problems between soil, rocks and structures with SPH and DDA Delegates Session 5 Modelling of arbitrary sheared currents and focused waves using DualSPHysics for offshore applications SPH numerical modelling of a U-OWC wave energy converter	Guangqi Chen Chair: Bonaventura Tagliafierro Aaron English Beatrice Mina					
11:40-11:55 12:00-12:15 12:15-12:30 12:30-12:45	A numerical method for studying interaction problems between soil, rocks and structures with SPH and DDA Delegates Session 5 Modelling of arbitrary sheared currents and focused waves using DualSPHysics for offshore applications SPH numerical modelling of a U-OWC wave energy converter SPH numerical investigation of attenuating waves by an array of submerged resonators	Guangqi Chen Chair: Bonaventura Tagliafierro Aaron English Beatrice Mina Lucas Calvo					

DualSPHysics users (and SPH users in general) are wanting to simulate more and more complex problems with either difficult fluid structure interactions or complex shaped geometries

Aim: to deliver a free engineering tool with boundary conditions that are as good and easy to use as possible



Boundary Conditions in DualSPHysics

DualSPHysics currently contains two options for the boundary condition:

Option #1: Dynamic Boundary Condition (DBC) <parameter key="Boundary" value="1" comment="Boundary method 1:DBC, 2:mDBC (default=1)" />

- Quick and easy to set up
- Has some drawbacks including the "gap"

Option #2 : modified Dynamic Boundary Condition (mDBC)
comment="Boundary method 1:DBC, 2:mDBC (default=1)" />

- More difficult to set up
- Removes the gap but still has some issues

Option #3 : Improved mDBC formulation











ADVANTAGES

high computational efficiency ability to deal with very complex geometries can be used for real engineering problems

DISADVANTAGES

unphysical density/pressure values of the boundary particles

high repulsive force resulting in a separation distance (GAP)



particles in SPH methods. Comput Mater Contin 5:173–184. https://doi.org/10.3970/cmc.2007.005.17

 $\frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = \sum_j m_j \mathbf{u}_{ij} \cdot \nabla W_{ij}$

 $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{0}$



First create a ghost node in the fluid domain by mirroring our boundary particle position across the boundary interface (hdp) into the fluid using the boundary normal



To correct the truncated kernel we use the multidimensional first-order Taylor series approximation of Liu and Liu (2006) to retrieve first order kernel and particle consistency

$$\left[A_{g} \cdot \left[\rho_{g} \ \partial_{x} \rho_{g} \ \partial_{y} \rho_{g} \ \partial_{z} \rho_{g} \right]^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{g}} \right]^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$\mathbf{b}_{g} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j} \rho_{j} V_{j} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} \rho_{j} V_{j} \partial_{x} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} \rho_{j} V_{j} \partial_{y} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} \rho_{j} V_{j} \partial_{z} W_{gj} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{g} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j} V_{j} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (x_{j} - x_{g}) V_{j} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (y_{j} - y_{g}) V_{j} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (z_{j} - z_{g}) V_{j} W_{gj} \\ \sum_{j} V_{j} \partial_{x} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (x_{j} - x_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{x} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (y_{j} - y_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{x} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (z_{j} - z_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{x} W_{gj} \\ \sum_{j} V_{j} \partial_{y} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (x_{j} - x_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{y} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (y_{j} - y_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{y} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (z_{j} - z_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{y} W_{gj} \\ \sum_{j} V_{j} \partial_{z} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (x_{j} - x_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{z} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (y_{j} - y_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{z} W_{gj} & \sum_{j} (z_{j} - z_{g}) V_{j} \partial_{z} W_{gj} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho_b = \rho_g + (\mathbf{r}_b - \mathbf{r}_g) \cdot \left[\partial_x \rho_g \ \partial_y \rho_g \ \partial_z \rho_g \right]^{\mathrm{T}}$$

English, A., Domínguez, J.M., Vacondio, R. *et al.* Modified dynamic boundary conditions (mDBC) for general-purpose smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH): application to tank sloshing, dam break and fish pass problems. *Comp. Part. Mech.* **9**, 1–15 (2022).

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40571-021-00403-3



mDBC

<parameter key="Boundary" value="2" comment="Boundary method 1:DBC, 2:mDBC (default=1)" />





mDBC <parameter key="Boundary" value="2" comment="Boundary method 1:DBC, 2:mDBC (default=1)" />









<parameter key="Boundary" value="2" comment="Boundary method 1:DBC, 2:mDBC (default=1)" />

Not Quite

Drawbacks or issues

Only vel = 0 was available as a no-slip option in the DualSPHysics release and no free slip option available (kept behind exceptions)

mDBC could only run on the predictor step when using the Symplectic time step scheme in DualSPHysics

No free surface detection included to "turn off" boundary particles when very few fluid particles

- Issues with isolated fluid particles
- Particles dancing on beach

Only check if the matrix is invertible



mDBC updates – numerical checks

Boundary particles turned on or off

Check if a ghost node is submerged through the divergence of position



Matrix checks

Use the condition number of the matrix to decide

on the correction method used



mDBC updates – pressure cloning

Use the density and the clone particle procedure of Antuono et al 2023.





mDBC updates – no-slip





mDBC updates – extra details

The new mDBC now updates the boundary particle density and velocity during **both the predictor and correct steps of the Symplectic time step scheme** where the previous approach only updated during the predictor step

Pressure of **boundary particles is now allowed to become negative** where the previous approaches would set the pressure to zero in the event of negative pressure. This is key for flows past objects where negative pressure keeps the flow attached to the back of the object

It is essential when using the new mDBC options that the ViscousBoundFactor is given a value of 1 regardless of viscosity treatment used

<parameter key="ViscoTreatment" value="2" comment="Viscosity formulation 1:Artificial, 2:Laminar+SPS, 3:Lamina
<parameter key="Visco" value="0.1" comment="Viscosity value. Typically 0.01 for Artificial and 1e-6 m^2/s (wat
<parameter key="ViscoBoundFactor" value="1" comment="Multiply viscosity value with boundary (default=1)" />



mDBC updates – new xml option

<parameter key="Boundary" value="2" comment="Boundary method 1:DBC, 2:mDBC (default=1)" />
<parameter key="SlipMode" value="2" comment="Boundary slip mode 1:Vel=0, 2:No-Slip (default=1)"/>

There is a new flag included in the xml files to activate all these features, **SlipMode**:

○To run DBC, select options:

Boundary=1: DBC

• To run the original mDBC, select options:

Boundary=2: mDBC, SlipMode=1: Vel=0

This is a completely unmodified version of mDBC without any added numerical checks

○To run the new mDBC, select options: (BETA)

```
Boundary=2: mDBC, SlipMode=2: No-Slip
```

The new mDBC with extra numerical checks, no-slip mirrored velocity and pressure cloning



Testcases







Testcases

Name	Date modified	Туре	Size					
🚞 chrono	18/10/2023 12:32	File folder						
= flexstruc	18/10/2023 12:32	File folder						
inletmesh	06/03/2024 12:15	File folder	Data modified	Tupo	Size			
inletoutlet			06/02/2024 12:15	File folder	Size			
📒 main			11/02/2024 17:40	File folder				
🔁 mdbc			11/02/2024 17:49	File folder				
📁 moordyn			11/03/2024 17:49	File folder				
motion	04 Dambreak		11/03/2024 17:49	File folder				
mphase_liquidgas	05 FlowCylinder		11/03/2024 17:49	File folder				
mphase_nnewtonian	06 WaveTank		08/03/2024 13:26	File folder	Nama	Data modified	Turne	Circ
others	- 07_WavesCylinder		06/03/2024 12:15	File folder	Name	Date modified	туре	Size
vresolution	08_FloatingWaves		06/03/2024 12:15	File folder	101_StillWater	11/03/2024 17:49	File folder	
wavecoupling	09_FloatingDuck		06/03/2024 12:15	File folder	102_Poiseuille	11/03/2024 17:49	File folder	
	10_NoSlip		06/03/2024 15:05	File folder	103_SloshingTank	08/03/2024 14:29	File folder	
					🦰 104_DamBreak	11/03/2024 17:49	File folder	
					105_FlowCylinder	11/03/2024 17:49	File folder	
					106_WaveTank	11/03/2024 17:49	File folder	



7th DualSPHysics Workshop

March 20, 2024 - Bari, Italy

Testcases – Stillwater

Time: 0.00s







Testcases – 2D Wave tank

Time: 0.00s







Testcases – 2D Wave tank







Testcases – Poiseuille flow

Time: 0.00s

SlipMode:2 No Slip



SlipMode:1 Vel=0





Testcases – Poiseuille flow





Testcases – Sloshing tank

Time: 0.00s

SlipMode:1 Vel=0

SlipMode:2 No-Slip







Testcases – Flow past cylinder





Testcases – Flow past cylinder





Testcases – 3D Dambreak

Time: 0.00s





Conclusions

 Highlighted a number of issues with the boundary methods previously included in DualSPHysics

 Developed a new mDBC approach that overcomes many of the issues of the old mDBC method including:

- Extra numerical checks
- More physical pressure and density extrapolation method
- More accurate no-slip condition
- No more particles dancing on the beach
- Negative boundary pressures behind obstacles

• Presented a selection of test cases with simple implementation of the new method



Future work

□Free slip velocity treatment

□Fix any bugs or leaks

□Integration with floating objects, Chrono and Flexible structures



Thanks for your attention – Any questions?



