HPC for SPH methods: Multicore, GPU and multiGPU



Universida_{de}Vigo



The University of Manchester

José Domínguez, A. Mokos, B.D. Rogers, A.J.C. Crespo and M. Gómez-Gesteira



Video link: https://youtu.be/pnLTWUk6wPc

Video link: https://youtu.be/747v7vi7vho

cpu

gpu

DualSPHysics

Outline

- 1. Introduction
 - **1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics**
 - 1.2. Why is SPH too slow?
 - **1.3.** High Performance Computing (HPC)
 - **1.4. DualSPHysics project**
- 2. DualSPHysics implementation
 - 2.1. Implementation in three steps
 - 2.2. Neighbour list approaches
- 3. CPU acceleration
- 4. GPU acceleration
 - 4.1. Parallelization problems in SPH
 - 4.2. GPU optimisations
- 5. Multi-GPU acceleration
 - 5.1. Dynamic load balancing
 - 5.2. Latest optimisations in Multi-GPU
 - 5.3. Large simulations
 - 5.4. Future improvements
- 6. New Multi-GPU approach

1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics



1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics

SPH method was invented for astrophysics during the seventies, but now it is applied in many different fields including fluid dynamics and solid mechanics.

Fluid is represented using particles which move according to the governing dynamics.



Comparing to grid-based methods, SPH interactions are carried out between a given particle and its moving neighbours. Thus, these **neighbours are unknown since they change at each instant.**

1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics

SPH method was invented for astrophysics during the seventies, but now it is applied in many different fields including fluid dynamics and solid mechanics.

Fluid is represented using particles which move according to the governing dynamics.

SPH is particularly suited to describe a variety of **free-surface flows**:

- Wave propagation over a beach.
- Plunging breakers.
- Wave-structure interactions.
- Solid bodies impacting on water surface.
- Dam breaks.



Drawbacks of SPH:

• SPH presents a **high computational cost** that increases when increasing the number of particles.

• The simulation of **real problems** requires a high resolution which implies simulating **millions of particles**.

The **time required** to simulate a few seconds is **too large**. One second of physical time can take several days of calculation.





The SPH method is very expensive in terms of computing time.



For example, a simulation of this dam break

Takes more than **15 hours** (*execution time*)

The SPH method is very expensive in terms of computing time.



For example, a simulation of this dam break



because:

• Each particle interacts with more than 250 neighbours.



Takes more than **15 hours**

(*execution time*)

The SPH method is very expensive in terms of computing time.



For example, a simulation of this dam break

300,000 particles 1.5 s (*physical time*)

Takes more than **15 hours** (*execution time*)

because:

• Each particle interacts with more than 250 neighbours.



• $\Delta t=10^{-5}-10^{-4}$ so more than 16,000 steps are needed to simulate 1.5 s of physical time.



Drawbacks of SPH:

• SPH presents a **high computational cost** that increases when increasing the number of particles.

• The simulation of **real problems** requires a high resolution which implies simulating **millions of particles**.

The **time required** to simulate a few seconds is **too large**. One second of physical time can take several days of calculation.

IT IS NECESSARY TO USE HPC TECHNIQUES TO REDUCE THESE COMPUTATION TIMES.





HPC includes multiple techniques of parallel computing and distributed computing that allow you to execute several operations simultaneously.

The main techniques used to accelerate SPH are:

- **OpenMP** (Open Multi-Processing)
 - Model of parallel programming for systems of shared memory.
 - Portable and flexible programming interface using directives.
 - Its implementation does not involve major changes in the code.
 - The improvement is limited by the number of cores.



Multi-core processor

OPENMP IS THE BEST OPTION TO OPTIMIZE THE PERFORMANCE OF THE MULTIPLE CORES OF THE CURRENT CPUS.

HPC includes multiple techniques of parallel computing and distributed computing that allow you to execute several operations simultaneously.

The main techniques used to accelerate SPH are:

- MPI (Message Passing Interface)
 - Message-passing library specification for systems of distributed memory: parallel computers and clusters.
 - Several processes are communicated by calling routines to send and receive messages.
 - The use of MPI is typically combined with OpenMP in clusters by using a hybrid communication model.
 - Very expensive for a small research group.

MPI IS THE BEST OPTION TO COMBINE THE RESOURCES OF MULTIPLE MACHINES CONNECTED VIA NETWORK.



MPI cluster

HPC includes multiple techniques of parallel computing and distributed computing that allow you to execute several operations simultaneously.

The main techniques used to accelerate SPH are:

- **GPGPU** (General-Purpose Computing on Graphics Processing Units)
 - It involves the study and use of parallel computing ability of a GPU to perform general purpose programs.
 - New general purpose programming languages and APIs (such as Brook and CUDA) provide an easier access to the computing power of GPUs.



 New implementation of the algorithms used in CPU is necessary for an efficient use in GPU.



Graphics Processing Units (GPUs)

- powerful parallel processors
- designed for graphics rendering
- their computing power has increased much faster than CPUs.



Advantages: GPUs provide a high calculation power with very low cost and without expensive infrastructures.

Drawbacks: An efficient and full use of the capabilities of the GPUs is not straightforward.

1.3. High Performance Computing (HPC) Why GPUs?

GPUs are an accessible tool to accelerate SPH, all numerical methods in CFD and any computational method



5X Digital Content Creation Adobe



18X Video Transcoding Elemental Technologies



20X 3D Ultrasound TechniScan



30X Gene Sequencing U of Maryland



36X Molecular Dynamics U of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign



50X MATLAB Computing AccelerEyes



80X Weather Modeling Tokyo Institute of Technology



100X Astrophysics RIKEN



146X Medical Imaging U of Utah



149X Financial Simulation Oxford University

http://www.nvidia.com

Why GPUs?

TOP500 LIST – JUNE 2017

https://www.top500.org/lists/2017/06/

Rank	System	Cores	Rmax (TFlop/s)	Rpeak (TFlop/s)	Power (kW)
1	Sunway TaihuLight - Sunway MPP, Sunway SW26010 260C 1.45GHz, Sunway, NRCPC National Supercomputing Center in Wuxi China	10,649,600	93,014.6	125,435.9	15,371
2	Tianhe-2 (MilkyWay-2) - TH-IVB-FEP Cluster, Intel Xeon E5-2692 12C 2.200GHz, TH Express-2, Intel Xeon Phi 31S1P, NUDT National Super Computer Center in Guangzhou China	3,120,000	33,862.7	54,902.4	17,808
3	Piz Daint - Cray XC50, Xeon E5-2690v3 12C 2.6GHz, Aries interconnect , NVIDIA Tesla P100 , Cray Inc. Swiss National Supercomputing Centre (CSCS) Switzerland	361,760	19,590.0	25,326.3	2,272
4	Titan - Cray XK7, Opteron 6274 16C 2.200GHz, Cray Gemini interconnect, NVIDIA K20x , Cray Inc . DOE/SC/Oak Ridge National Laboratory United States	560,640	17,590.0	27,112.5	8,209
5	Sequoia - BlueGene/Q, Power BQC 16C 1.60 GHz, Custom , IBM DOE/NNSA/LLNL United States	1,572,864	17,173.2	20,132.7	7,890

Why GPUs?

GREEN500 LIST – JUNE 2016

https://www.top500.org/green500/lists/2017/06/

Rank	TOP500 Rank	System	Cores	Rmax (TFlop/s)	Power (kW)	Power Efficiency (GFlops/watts)
1	61	TSUBAME3.0 - SGI ICE XA, IP139-SXM2, Xeon E5-2680v4 14C 2.4GHz, Intel Omni- Path, NVIDIA Tesla P100 SXM2 , HPE GSIC Center, Tokyo Institute of Technology (Japan)	36,288	1,998.0	142	14.110
2	465	kukai - ZettaScaler-1.6 GPGPU system, Xeon E5-2650Lv4 14C 1.7GHz, Infiniband FDR, NVIDIA Tesla P100 , ExaScalar Yahoo Japan Corporation (Japan)	10,080	460.7	33	14.046
3	148	AIST AI Cloud - NEC 4U-8GPU Server, Xeon E5-2630Lv4 10C 1.8GHz, Infiniband EDR, NVIDIA Tesla P100 SXM2 , NEC National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (Japan)	23,400	961.0	76	12.681
4	305	RAIDEN GPU subsystem - NVIDIA DGX-1, Xeon E5-2698v4 20C 2.2GHz, Infiniband EDR, NVIDIA Tesla P100 , Fujitsu Center for Advanced Intelligence Project, RIKEN (Japan)	11,712	635.1	60	10.603
5	100	Wilkes-2 - Dell C4130, Xeon E5-2650v4 12C 2.2GHz, Infiniband EDR, NVIDIA Tesla P100 , Dell University of Cambridge (United Kingdom)	21,240	1,193.0	114	10.428
6	3	Piz Daint - Cray XC50, Xeon E5-2690v3 12C 2.6GHz, Aries interconnect , NVIDIA Tesla P100 , Cray Inc. Swiss National Supercomputing Centre (CSCS) (Switzerland)	361,760	19,590.0	2,272	10.398
7	69	Gyoukou - ZettaScaler-2.0 HPC system, Xeon D-1571 16C 1.3GHz , Infiniband EDR, PEZY-SC2 , ExaScalar Japan Agency for Marine -Earth Science and Technology (Japan)	3,176,000	1,677.1	164	10.226
8	220	Research Computation Facility for GOSAT-2 (RCF2) - SGI Rackable C1104-GP1, Xeon E5-2650v4 12C 2.2GHz, Infiniband EDR, NVIDIA Tesla P100 , NSSOL/HPE National Institute for Environmental Studies (Japan)	16,320	770.4	79	9.797
9	31	NVIDIA DGX-1/Penguin Relion 2904GT, Xeon E5-2698v4 20C 2.2GHz/ E5-2650v4, Mellanox Infiniband EDR, NVIDIA Tesla P100 , Facebook Facebook (United States)	60,512	3,307.0	350	9.462
10	32	DGX Saturn V - NVIDIA DGX-1, Xeon E5-2698v4 20C 2.2GHz, Infiniband EDR, NVIDIA Tesla P100 , Nvidia	60,512	3,307.0	350	9.462

The DualSPHysics code was created starting from SPHysics.



SPHysics is a numerical model SPH developed for the study of free-surface problems.

It is a code written in Fortran90 with numerous options (different kernels, several boundary conditions,...), which had already demonstrated high accuracy in several validations with experimental results... but it is too slow to apply to large domains.



First version in late 2009.

It includes **two implementations**:

- **CPU**: C++ and OpenMP.
- **GPU**: CUDA.

Both options optimized for the best performance of each architecture.

Why two implementations?

This code can be used on machines with GPU and without GPU.

It allows us to make a fair and realistic comparison between CPU and GPU.

Some algorithms are complex and it is easy to make errors difficult to detect. So they are implemented twice and we can compare results.

It is easier to understand the code in CUDA when you can see the same code in C++.

Drawback: It is necessary to implement and to maintain two different codes.



DSPH project includes:



Pre-processing tools:

- Converts geometry into particles.
- Provides configuration for simulation.

DualSPHysics solver:

- Runs simulation using SPH particles.
- Obtains data simulation for time intervals.

Post-processing tools:

- Calculates magnitudes using particle data.
- Generates images and videos starting form SPH particles.



DualSPHysics

FAQ References Downloads Validation Animations SPHysics GPU Computing Developers Contact News Forums



Universida_{de}Vigo



The University of Manchester

DualSPHysics is based on the Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics model named SPHysics (www.sphysics.org).

The code is developed to study free-surface flow phenomena where Eulerian methods can be difficult to apply, such as waves or impact of dam-breaks on off-shore structures. **DualSPHysics** is a set of C++, CUDA and Java codes designed to deal with real-life engineering problems.

Contact E-Mail: dualsphysics@gmail.com

Youtube Channel: www.youtube.com/user/DualSPHysics

Twitter Account: @DualSPHysics



www.dual.sphysics.org





www.dual.sphysics.org



People working on DualSPHysics project:





qpu

It has been downloaded and used by researchers but also by companies:

NASA JSC, BAE Systems, Volkswagen AG, McLaren Racing Ltd, Forum NOKIA, NVIDIA, AECOM, HDR Engineering, ABPmer, DLR, Maine Marine Composites, CFD-NUMERICS, BMT Group, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Rainpower Norway, American Wave Machines,, National Renewable Energy Laboratory in U.S.A., Atria Power Corporation Ltd., Global Hydro Energy, Carnegie Wave Energy Ltd, etc.

Outline

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics
 - 1.2. Why is SPH too slow?
 - 1.3. High Performance Computing (HPC)
 - 1.4. DualSPHysics project

2. DualSPHysics implementation

- **2.1. Implementation in three steps**
- 2.2. Neighbour list approaches
- 3. CPU acceleration
- 4. GPU acceleration
 - 4.1. Parallelization problems in SPH
 - 4.2. GPU optimisations
- 5. Multi-GPU acceleration
 - 5.1. Dynamic load balancing
 - 5.2. Latest optimisations in Multi-GPU
 - 5.3. Large simulations
 - 5.4. Future improvements
- 6. New Multi-GPU approach

2.1. Implementation in three steps

For the implementation of SPH, the code is organised in **3 main steps** that are repeated each time step till the end of the simulation.



Neighbour list (NL):

Particles are grouped in cells and reordered to optimise the next step.

Particle interactions (PI):

Forces between particles are computed, solving momentum and continuity equations.

This step takes **more than 95%** of execution time.

System update (SU):

Starting from the values of computed forces, the magnitudes of the particles are updated for the next instant of the simulation.



Particle Interaction (PI) consumes more than 95% of the execution time. However, its implementation and performance depends greatly on the Neighbour List (NL).

NL step creates the neighbour list to optimise the search for neighbours during particle interaction.

Two approaches were studied:

- Cell-linked list (CLL)
- Verlet list (VL)
 - Classical Verlet List (VL_C)
 - Improved Verlet List (VL_X)

Cell-linked List (CLL)

- The computational domain is divided in cells of side 2h (cut-off limit).
- Particles are stored according to the cell they belong to.
- So each particle only looks for its potential neighbours in the adjacent cells.



Cell-linked List (CLL)

- The computational domain is divided in cells of side 2h (cut-off limit).
- Particles are stored according to the cell they belong to.
- So each particle only looks for its potential neighbours in the adjacent cells.



In this example:

3rd DualSPHysics Users Workhop, 13-15 November 2017, Parma (Italy)

Cell-linked List (CLL)

- The computational domain is divided in cells of side 2h (cut-off limit).
- Particles are stored according to the cell they belong to.
- So each particle only looks for its potential neighbours in the adjacent cells.



In this example:

141 Potential neighbours (gray particles)

Cell-linked List (CLL)

2h

- The computational domain is divided in cells of side 2h (cut-off limit).
- Particles are stored according to the cell they belong to.
- So each particle only looks for its potential neighbours in the adjacent cells.



In this example:

141 Potential neighbours (gray particles)

47 real neighbours (dark gray particles)

Verlet List

- The computational domain is divided in cells of side 2h (cut-off limit).
- Particles are stored according to the cell they belong to.
- So each particle only looks for its potential neighbours in the adjacent cells.
- Array of real neighbours is created for each particle.



3rd DualSPHysics Users Workhop, 13-15 November 2017, Parma (Italy)

Improved Verlet List (VL_X)

- Δh is calculated in the same way as in VL_C but the number of steps the list is kept (*X* instead of *C*) is only tentative.
- The constant v=1 (instead of 1.2) is used because no extra distance is necessary.
- The same list can be used for several time steps.



 $\Delta h = v(2 \cdot V_{max} \cdot C \cdot dt)$

V_{max}: maximum velocity *C*: time steps that list is fixed *dt*: physical time for one time step *v*: constant to remove inaccuracies in calculations

^{3&}lt;sup>rd</sup> DualSPHysics Users Workhop, 13-15 November 2017, Parma (Italy)

The best Neighbour List approach is...

	Computational runtime	Memory requirements
CLL (Cell-linked list)	fast	minimum
VL _X (improved Verlet list)	the fastest (only 6% faster than CLL)	very heavy (30 times more than CLL)
VL _C (classical Verlet list)	the slowest	the most inefficient



DualSPHysics is designed to simulate large number of particles. So that, **Cell-linked list is the best option** to be implemented since it provides the **best balance between the performance and the memory usage**.

Domínguez JM, Crespo AJC, Gómez-Gesteira M, Marongiu JC. 2011. Neighbour lists in Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics. **International Journal For Numerical Methods in Fluids**, 67(12): 2026-2042. doi:10.1002/fld.2481.
Outline

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics
 - 1.2. Why is SPH too slow?
 - 1.3. High Performance Computing (HPC)
 - 1.4. DualSPHysics project
- 2. DualSPHysics implementation
 - 2.1. Implementation in three steps
 - 2.2. Neighbour list approaches

3. CPU acceleration

- 4. GPU acceleration
 - 4.1. Parallelization problems in SPH
 - 4.2. GPU optimisations
- 5. Multi-GPU acceleration
 - 5.1. Dynamic load balancing
 - 5.2. Latest optimisations in Multi-GPU
 - 5.3. Large simulations
 - 5.4. Future improvements
- 6. New Multi-GPU approach

3. CPU acceleration

Previous ideas:



SPH is a Lagrangian model so particles are moving during simulation.

Each time step NL sorts particles (data arrays) to improve the memory access in PI stage since the access pattern is more regular and efficient.

Another advantage is the ease to identify the particles that belongs to a cell by using a range since the first particle of each cell is known.

Four optimizations have been applied to DualSPHysics:

- Applying symmetry to particle-particle interaction.
- Splitting the domain into smaller cells.
- Using SSE instructions.
- Multi-core implementation using OpenMP.

Domínguez JM, Crespo AJC and Gómez-Gesteira M. 2013. Optimization strategies for CPU and GPU implementations of a smoothed particle hydrodynamics method. **Computer Physics Communications**, 184(3): 617-627

3. CPU acceleration

Testcase for results

- **Dam break flow impacting on a structure** (experiment of Yeh and Petroff at the University of Washington).
- Physical time of simulation is **1.5 seconds**.









3. CPU acceleration





Hardware and configuration for results

- **Hardware:** Intel[®] Core [™] i7 940 at 2.93 GHz (4 physical cores, 8 logical cores with Hyper-threading), with 6 GB of DDR3 RAM memory at 1333 MHz.
- **Operating system:** Ubuntu 10.10 64-bit.
- **Compiler:** GCC 4.4.5 (compiling with the option –O3).

Outline

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics
 - 1.2. Why is SPH too slow?
 - 1.3. High Performance Computing (HPC)
 - 1.4. DualSPHysics project
- 2. DualSPHysics implementation
 - 2.1. Implementation in three steps
 - 2.2. Neighbour list approaches
- 3. CPU acceleration
- 4. GPU acceleration
 - 4.1. Parallelization problems in SPH
 - 4.2. GPU optimisations
- 5. Multi-GPU acceleration
 - 5.1. Dynamic load balancing
 - 5.2. Latest optimisations in Multi-GPU
 - 5.3. Large simulations
 - 5.4. Future improvements
- 6. New Multi-GPU approach
- 7. DualSPHysics applications

4. GPU acceleration

Full GPU implementation



- DualSPHysics was implemented using the CUDA programming language to run SPH method on Nvidia GPUs.
- GPU is used in all steps (Neighbour List, Particle Interaction and System Update).
- This approach is the most efficient since:
 - All particle data is kept in GPU memory and the transfers CPU-GPU are removed.
 - Neighbour List and System Update are parallelized, obtaining a speedup also in this part of the code.



4. GPU acceleration

GPU implementation



DualSPHysics was implemented using the CUDA programming language to run SPH method on Nvidia GPUs.

Important: An efficient and full use of the capabilities of the GPUs is not straightforward. It is necessary to know and to take into account the details of the GPU architecture and the CUDA programming model.

Differences regarding the CPU implementation:

- Each GPU thread calculates the interaction between a target particle and its neighbours.
- The symmetry is not used in particle interaction because it cannot be applied efficiently on GPU.

4.1. Parallelization problems in SPH



Problems in Particle Interaction step

These problems appears since each thread has to interact with different neighbours.

• Code divergence:

GPU threads are grouped into sets of 32 (*warps*) which execute the same operation simultaneously. When there are different operations in one warp these operations are executed sequentially, giving rise to a significant loss of efficiency.

• No coalescent memory accesses:

The global memory of the GPU is accessed in blocks of 32, 64 or 128 bytes, so the number of accesses to satisfy a warp depends on how grouped data are. In SPH a regular memory access is not possible because the particles are moved each time step.

• No balanced workload:

Warps are executed in *blocks* of threads. To execute a block some resources are assigned and they will not be available for other blocks till the end of the execution. In SPH the number of interactions is different for each particle so one thread can be under execution, keeping the assigned resources, while the rest of threads have finished.



Five optimizations have been applied to DualSPHysics to avoid or minimize the problems previously described.

- Maximizing the occupancy of GPU.
- Reducing global memory accesses.
- Simplifying the neighbour search.
- Adding a more specific CUDA function of interaction.
- Division of the domain into smaller cells.

Domínguez JM, Crespo AJC and Gómez-Gesteira M. 2013. Optimization strategies for CPU and GPU implementations of a smoothed particle hydrodynamics method. **Computer Physics Communications**, 184(3): 617-627

Testcase for results

- **Dam break** flow impacting on a structure.
- Simulating **1.5 seconds** of physical time.



Hardware for results

	Number of cores	Processor clock	Memory space	Compute capability
Intel Xeon X5500	1-8	2.67 GHz		
Tesla 1060	240	1.30 GHz	4 GB	1.3
GTX 480	480	1.40 GHz	1.5 GB	2.0
GTX 680	1536	1.14 GHz	2 GB	3.0
Tesla K20	2496	0.71 GHz	5 GB	3.5
GTX Titan	2688	0.88 GHz	6 GB	3.5



Computational runtimes (in seconds) using GTX 480 for different GPU implementations (partial, full and optimized) when simulating 500,000 particles.



Full GPU is **1.26x** faster than Partial GPU.

Optimized GPU is **2.12x** faster than Partial GPU.

GPU cards.

Runtime for CPU and different

Speedups of GPU against CPU simulating 1 million particles.



After optimising the performance of DualSPHysics on CPU and GPU...

The most powerful GPU (**GTX Titan**) is **149 times faster** than CPU (single core execution) and **24 times faster** than the CPU using all 8 cores.







After optimising the performance of DualSPHysics on CPU and GPU...

The most powerful GPU (**GTX Titan**) is **149 times faster** than CPU (single core execution) and **24 times faster** than the CPU using all 8 cores.



The simulation of **real cases implies huge domains with a high resolution**, which implies simulating tens or hundreds of million particles.

The use of one GPU presents important limitations:

- Maximum number of particles depends on the memory size of GPU.
- Time of execution increases rapidly with the number of particles.



Outline

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics
 - 1.2. Why is SPH too slow?
 - 1.3. High Performance Computing (HPC)
 - 1.4. DualSPHysics project
- 2. DualSPHysics implementation
 - 2.1. Implementation in three steps
 - 2.2. Neighbour list approaches
- 3. CPU acceleration
- 4. GPU acceleration
 - 4.1. Parallelization problems in SPH
 - 4.2. GPU optimisations
- 5. Multi-GPU acceleration
 - 5.1. Dynamic load balancing
 - 5.2. Latest optimisations in Multi-GPU
 - **5.3.** Large simulations
 - **5.4.** Future improvements
- 6. New Multi-GPU approach

5. Multi-GPU implementation



MPI is used to combine resources of multiple machines connected via network.

The **physical domain** of the simulation **is divided among the different MPI processes**. Each process only needs to assign resources to manage a subset of the total amount of particles for each subdomain.



5. Multi-GPU implementation

The use of MPI implies an **overcost**:

- Communication: Time dedicated to the interchange of data between processes.
- Synchronization: All processes must wait for the slowest one.

Solutions:

- **Overlapping** between force computation and communications: while data is transferred between processes, each process can compute the force interactions among its own particles. In the case of GPU, the CPU-GPU transfers can also be overlapped with computation using *streams* and *pinned memory*.

- Load balancing. A dynamic load balancing is applied to minimise the difference between the execution times of each process.

5. Multi-GPU implementation



Dynamic load balancing

Due to the nature Lagrangian of the SPH method, is necessary to balance the load throughout the simulation.

FIRST approach according to the **number of fluid particles**

The number of particles must be redistributed after some time steps to get the workload balanced among the processes and minimise the synchronisation time.

SECOND approach according to the required computation time of each device

Enables the adaptation of the code to the features of a heterogeneous cluster achieving a better performance.

GPUs: 3 x GTX480 MPI: Dynamic Balancing-Np Particles: 6 Millions Steps: 42,624 Runtime: 2.6 hours





5.1. Dynamic load balancing

Results using one GPU and several GPUs with dynamic load balancing



N×.

5.1. Dynamic load balancing



Results using one GPU and several GPUs with dynamic load balancing

- Using the fastest GPU (GTX 680) 5.8 hours
- Using three different GPUs
 - According to the number of fluid particles4.6 hoursAccording to the required computation time2.8 hours

The second approach is 1.7x faster than first approach and 2.1x faster than one GPU.



Testcase for results

- Dam break flow.
- Physical time of simulation is **0.6 seconds**.
- The number of used particles varies from 1M to 1,024M particles.



N×

Results of efficiency



The simulations were carried out in the **Barcelona Supercomputing Center** BSC-CNS (Spain). This system is built with **256 GPUs Tesla M2090**.

All the results presented here were obtained single precision and Error-correcting code memory (ECC) disabled.



Activity at BARCELONA SUPERCOMPUTING CENTER: "Massively parallel Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics scheme using GPU clusters"

Efficiency close to 100% simulating 4M/GPU with 128 GPUs Tesla M2090 of BSC.

This is possible because the time dedicated to tasks exclusive of the multi-GPU executions (communication between processes, CPU-GPU transfers and load balancing) is minimum.



Speedup - Weak scaling 128 1M/Gpu 4M/Gpu 8M/Gpu 96 ······ Ideal 64 32 Property second 0 32 96 64 128 0 **GPUs**

 $S(N) = \frac{T(N_{ref}) \cdot N}{T(N) \cdot N_{ref}}$

N×

$$E(N) = \frac{S(N)}{N}$$



N×

Percentage of time dedicated to tasks exclusive of the multi-GPU executions (including the latest improvements).



5.3. Large simulations

Simulation of 1 billion SPH particles

Large wave interaction with oil rig using 10^9 particles



dp= 6 cm, h= 9 cm np = 1,015,896,172 particles nf = 1,004,375,142 fluid particles physical time= 12 sec # of steps = 237,065 steps runtime = 79.1 hours

using 64 GPUs Tesla M2090 of the BSC-CNS



GPUs: 64x M2090 (BSC) MPI: Dynamic balancing Algorithm: Verlet & Wendland Particles: 1,015 Millions Steps: 237,055 ci (m) de um Runtime: 79.1 hours Physical time: 12 seconds





Video link: https://youtu.be/B8mP9E75D08

ST STADION NUMBER OF STADION



Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación



Time: 3.36 s

5.3. Large simulations

Simulation of a real case



Using 3D geometry of the beach Itzurun in Zumaia-Guipúzcoa (Spain) in Google Earth



32 x M2090 (BSC) Particles: **265 Millions** Physical time: **60 seconds** Steps: 218,211 Runtime: **246.3 hours**



Video links: https://youtu.be/nDKlrRA_hEA https://youtu.be/kWS6-0Z_jIo gpu

DualSPHysics

5.4. Future improvements



Decomposition in 2D and 3D

- Now only 1D but 2D and 3D will be implemented in the future.
- 1D approach is correct when the domain of simulation is very narrow but this approach is not well adapted to other domains.
- A 2D and 3D decomposition is necessary for a better distribution of the work load when using hundreds of GPUs.



Example of the 2D decomposition we are working on

2D decomposition





Time: 0.00 s

Outline

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1. Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics
 - 1.2. Why is SPH too slow?
 - 1.3. High Performance Computing (HPC)
 - 1.4. DualSPHysics project
- 2. DualSPHysics implementation
 - 2.1. Implementation in three steps
 - 2.2. Neighbour list approaches
- 3. CPU acceleration
- 4. GPU acceleration
 - 4.1. Parallelization problems in SPH
 - 4.2. GPU optimisations
- 5. Multi-GPU acceleration
 - 5.1. Dynamic load balancing
 - 5.2. Latest optimisations in Multi-GPU
 - 5.3. Large simulations
 - 5.4. Future improvements
- 6. New Multi-GPU approach

6. New Multi-GPU approach

Consumers can now easily purchase desktop machines or a single compute node with 4-8 GPUs for only a few thousand euros.



New Multi-GPU code optimized for Multi-GPU machines

CUDA (and OpenMP), not MPI

Only for several GPUs in the same machine Typical clusters have 2, 4 or 8 GPUs

Simulations with 100-200M particles 120M using 4x GTX Titan (6GB)

6. New Multi-GPU approach

Consumers can now easily purchase desktop machines or a single compute node with 4-8 GPUs for only a few thousand euros.



6. New Multi-GPU approach

Consumers can now easily purchase desktop machines or a single compute node with 4-8 GPUs for only a few thousand euros.



New Multi-GPU code optimized for Multi-GPU machines

CUDA (and OpenMP), not MPI

Only for several GPUs in the same machine Typical clusters have 2, 4 or 8 GPUs

Simulations with 100-200M particles 120M using 4x GTX Titan (6GB)
6. New Multi-GPU approach



3rd DualSPHysics Users Workhop, 13-15 November 2017, Parma (Italy)

6. New Multi-GPU approach

New Multi-GPU code optimized for Multi-GPU machines

Advantages:

- More portable and easy to use in Linux and Windows
- Simpler code and easier to modify
- More efficient communication. MPI overhead was removed.
- Not special pre-processing and postprocessing tools
- Updated code. It will include all capabilities in the last version of DualSPHysics

Drawbacks :

- Limited number of GPUs (2-8 GPUs)
- Limited size of simulation. Not 10⁹ particles
- Does not work in distributed systems



3rd DualSPHysics Users Workhop, 13-15 November 2017, Parma (Italy)

HPC for SPH methods: multicore GPU and multiGPU



Universida_{de}Vigo



The University of Manchester

José Domínguez, A. Mokos, B.D. Rogers, A.J.C. Crespo and M. Gómez-Gesteira